

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JAMES M. CLARK,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

8:12CR342

ORDER

This matter is before the court on defendant's THIRD UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND PRETRIAL MOTION DEADLINE [19]. For good cause shown, I find that the motion should be granted. The defendant will be given an approximate 63-day extension. Pretrial Motions shall be filed by June 24, 2013.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Defendant's THIRD UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND PRETRIAL MOTION DEADLINE [19] is granted. Pretrial motions shall be filed on or before **June 24, 2013**.

2. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., **the time between April 22, 2013 and June 24, 2013**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel required additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 9th day of April, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

**s/ F.A. Gossett
United States Magistrate Judge**